

1 Marc S. Stern
2 1825 NW 65th Street
3 Seattle, WA 98117
4 (206) 448-7996
5 marc@hutzbah.com

Honorable Marc Barreca
Chapter 11
Location: Seattle

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

In re:
TIMOTHY DONALD EYMAN,
Debtor

Case No. 18-14536-MLB

KAREN EYMAN'S RESPONSE TO STATE'S OBJECTION TO EXEMPTIONS.

11 Karen Eyman, by and through counsel responds to the State of Washington's Objection
12 to her IRA as follows:

13 1. Karen submits that the IRA is not community property and consequently is not
14 property of the estate.

15 2. If it is or was community property, it is wholly exempt pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §
16 522(a)(3). Section 522(a) provides in part as follows:

Notwithstanding section 541 of this title, an individual debtor may exempt from property of the estate the property listed in either paragraph (2)¹ or, in the alternative, paragraph (3)² of this subsection.

Section 523(b)(3) provides in part as follows:

20 (C) retirement funds to the extent that those funds are in a fund or
21 account that is exempt from taxation under section 401, 403, 408,
22 408A, 414, 457, or 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

¹Paragraph (2) relates to state exemptions or other items of property specified in Subsection (d), i.e. homestead, clothes, etc.

² The old people and the investment community had a better lobbyist. Retirement accounts, of any kind are exempt, regardless of whether they are exempt under state law.

RESPONSE TO STATE'S OBJECTION TO EXEMPTIONS – 1

**MARC S. STERN
ATTORNEY AT LAW
1825 NW 65TH STREET
SEATTLE, WA 98117
(206) 448-7996**

1 If the effect of the domiciliary requirement under subparagraph (A)
2 is to render the debtor ineligible for any exemption, the debtor may
3 elect to exempt property that is specified under subsection (d).

4 (4) For purposes of paragraph (3)(C) and subsection (d)(12), the
5 following shall apply:

6 (A) If the retirement funds are in a retirement fund that has
7 received a favorable determination under section 7805 of
8 the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and that determination
9 is in effect as of the date of the filing of the petition in a
case under this title, those funds shall be presumed to be
exempt from the estate.

10 (B) If the retirement funds are in a retirement fund that has
11 not received a favorable determination under such section
12 7805, those funds are exempt from the estate if the debtor
demonstrates that--

13 (i) no prior determination to the contrary has been made by
14 a court or the Internal Revenue Service; and

15 (ii)(I) the retirement fund is in substantial compliance with
16 the applicable requirements of the Internal Revenue Code
17 of 1986; or

18 (II) the retirement fund fails to be in substantial compliance
19 with the applicable requirements of the Internal Revenue
Code of 1986 and the debtor is not materially responsible
for that failure.

20
21 In this case the IRA in question is administered by Pershing, a multi billion dollar
22 investment banking institution. Neither the debtor nor her counsel have the time or expertise to
23 examine Pershing's records to determine whether it is compliance with the various regulatory
24 agencies regulating it. In this case this is not relevant. No one can seriously suggest that either
25 Tim or Karen have sufficient power to, in the words of the statute, "the debtor is not materially
26 responsible for that failure."

The objection is not in good faith, is not supported by law or fact, and should be summarily overruled.

10 Respectfully submitted this June 14, 2022

/s/ Marc S. Stern
Marc S. Stern
WSBA 8194
Attorney for Karen Eyman

RESPONSE TO STATE'S OBJECTION TO EXEMPTIONS – 3

MARC S. STERN
ATTORNEY AT LAW
1825 NW 65TH STREET
SEATTLE, WA 98117
(206) 448-7996

